# CHERRY CREEK WATERWORKS DISTRICT ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING AGENDA held at the Cherry Creek Community Hall Thursday, April 18<sup>th</sup> 2024

## Present

Chair Dana Forrester, Vice Chair Jennifer Smith, Secretary Wendy Johnston, Trustee Robert Babych, Trustee Wayne Smith, Wes Kovacs, Lucas Banton

- See attendance list for residents.
- 1 CALL TO ORDER: 6:05pm
- 2 OPENING REMARKS

### 3 ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

#### MOTION:

"THAT the agenda for the 65th Annual General Meeting be accepted."

Moved	Jennifer Smith	
Seconded	Wayne Smith	

## 4 ADOPTION OF MINUTES

Minutes of the 64th Annual General Meeting

#### MOTION:

"THAT the minutes from the 64th Annual General Meeting be adopted by the Board of Trustees"

Moved		Wayne Smith	
	Seconded	Jennifer Smith	

#### 5 2023 FINANCIALS REPORT

Cory Vanderhorst, Assurance Services – MNP 2023 Financials Report Presentation and approval of financial reports

Motion - "THAT the Cherry Creek Waterworks District Financial Statement for the year 2023

be approved as presented" Moved: Jennifer Smith Seconded: Dana Forrester

OLD	BUISNESS		
0-1	McGill Engineering, Water Treatment Plant Update  - A secondary 'market available' treatment option was investigated to ensure we are making the right choice by continuing with the Golder design.		
	Question	Answer	
	Charlaine Lacroix - Are we saving money on land acquisition by only pursuing an SRW?	Yes, we should be. The goal is to purchase the SRW rather than continue to lease.	
	Ron Crema - What is the timeline for the	We do not have a solid timeline, right now the	
	treatment plant?	biggest hold up is land acquisition.	
O-2	Conversion – Questions		
	Question	Answer	
	Ron Crema - What will conversion cost on the ACRD side in terms of staffing? Is an increase of 8 or more staff possible?	Both ACRD and the improvement district will require the addition of approximately 1 FTE whether we convert or not. But this answer will be broadened when the final report is released.	
	Marina Gaiga - The Conversion study so far has made it feel like conversion is our only option.	The grant provided was only supplied to cover a conversion to a regional service study.	
	Trevor Cripps - Haven't we voted on conversion in the past already?	No. Some years ago we had a referendum to decide whether to move forward with the treatment of our current water source, or purchase bulk water from the city of Port Alberni The main reason for the conversion study is to talk about the financial implications of standing alone vs. converting. The treatment plant will coal lot of money and it is unfair to the residents not to have this discussion.	
	Director Sparrow – (in the context of conversion) There will be no more grant monies given this year, but it is possible in the future. When was the last watermain install that Cherry Creek paid for completely by itself?	Moore/Cherry Creek to Cottam approximately 5-years ago.	
	Rom Crem (statement) – It is not like we can't get grant money; Director Sparrow needs to fight for us. UBCM voted Improvement districts out because we can do it cheaper.		
	Lars Banke - I believe we can stay independent. Even if we must pay more.	Remember, our community is the people that reside in it. We will always remain 'Cherry Creek	
	Charlaine Lacroix – Are you continuing with the inhouse option of watermain replacement?	Yes, our first test run will be Moore/Cherry Cree to Becker.	

Ron Crema – What are the chances of the ACRD allocating more Bylaw officers to Cherry Creek in the event of conversion?	enforcement are already services controlled by
Ron Crema – Please explain conversion simply. How would the ACRD benefit?	Conversion is the transfer of governance (management) of our services. Right now, we have a board of 5 Trustees who manage and are responsible for the water and fire services in Cherry Creek. Upon conversion, the management and responsibility of these services would then lie with our Regional Director and the ACRD board. Our 5 trustee board would be converted to an advisory board to help guide decisions moving forward, they would not make direct decisions, on provide guidance.
	The ACRD does not benefit by absorbing our services, it creates more work for them. Regional districts are mandated by the province to provide services to residents.
	We learn about politics from how 'cities' operate. Cities bring in revenue from their residents then allocate ALL funds how they see fit. Regional Districts are very different. Think of a regional district as a business. Under the ACRD, Cherry Creek Water would bring in revenue from its users. That revenue is ONLY allowed to be spent on Cherry Creek Water projects and cannot flow to other services. For example, Cherry Creek money could NOT be spent on Beaver Creek projects.
Ron Crema – If we convert, could the ACRD conduct a feasibility study and decide to change our water source?	The ACRD has stated that they will provide the service that Cherry Creek residents desire, i.e. Lacey Lake water. If we are concerned, we can insert the stipulation of remaining with our water source into our referendum question.
Devon Charleson – So under conversion the new Advisory Committee would basically be doing the same thing, help guide decisions, but the final decions would be made by the entire ACRD board?	Yes, that is correct.  For clarification, the province is actively seeking the conversion of all Improvement Districts, meaning one day we WILL convert there is no doubt of that. The goal of this study is to look at conversion now while we must build a new water treatment plant and replace 15km of watermains so we can take advantage of the ACRD's lower borrowing rates and access to grants.
Lars Banke – If grants dry up, could we get nothing?	Yes, that is a risk.

7	REP	PORTS		
	R-1	Presented by Wes Kovacs, IFC report		
		Motion – "THAT the Island Flow Controls Water Solutions Maintenance report be accepted as presented"  Moved: Jennifer Smith Seconded: Dana Forrester		
	R-2	Presentation and approval of Fire Chi	ef's renort	
		<ul> <li>We are currently working with the permit.</li> <li>Our new truck should be arriving a We have made roughly \$500,000 wildfires since 2017. Because of experience we have gained from a Call volume since covid has incre</li> <li>Our procurement strategies have service can be very difficult. We a innovative ways to save money.</li> </ul>	architect and have submitted our building near the end of the year. profit from working for the province on our district we focus heavily on Wildfire. The working for the province has been invaluable.	
		Question	Answer	
		Marina Gaiga - Do you open membership to people living outside Cherry Creek?	Yes	
Ron Crema – With 2024 changes to We pushed very hard to have our		We pushed very hard to have our building permit submitted before the changes were enacted.		
	Mike Leosky – Once the new hall is built what will happen to the old hall? The new hall was kept as small as possion and designed with the idea that we will		maintain the old hall as storage and training space. As well as storage for the water	
Director Sparrow – If you go over budget, will you have to vote again to borrow more money?		Yes.		
		Director Sparrow – Dashwood's new firehall was \$6 million Dollars, how are you doing it for half?	We haven't done it yet. Much like a house, the highest costs for construction lie in the finishing. Most firehalls are built to the very highest standard and constructed as 'state of the art'. We have made many compromises in the design of our hall, to be as realistic as possible. Our hall will not be a typical firehall with all the bells and whistles, it will be very 'utilitarian', think of a truck shop with offices.	

		Moved: Dan		ort be accepted as presented"
8	NEW BUSINESS			
	N-1 Topics presented from the floor			
	N-2	2 Trustee Election		
9	ADJOURNMENT  Election polls will remain open for a maximum of 30 (thirty) minutes following the adjournment of the AGM.  MOTION:			
				Annual General Meeting at 7:47pm."
	Move		Wendy Johnson	<i>(</i>
	Seco	onded Dark	Robert Babych	Will-
	Chair	Signature		Administrator Signature